

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/943,399

Applicant(s)

Vaughn

Examiner

In Suk Bullock

Group Art Unit

1764



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) In Suk Bullock(3) Mr. Bradley A. Keller(2) Mr. K.H. Kuechler

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 18, 1999Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 5, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, and 39

Identification of prior art discussed:

Gould (U.S. 4,579,999) and Kaiser (U.S. 4,677,243)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Faxed materials outlining the art rejection and the critical differences between the applied art and the claimed invention were reviewed. Upon reconsideration, the present claims are patentably distinct from the applied art. Additionally, applicants note that they will be refiling the present application to amend claim 1 (step (c) to recite that "at least a portion of heavy hydrocarbon fraction" is fed to . . .) and to add additional dependent claim(s).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

IN SUK BULLOCK
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1764